

Application No. 10/663,044  
Response dated January 5, 2006  
Reply to Interview Summary of December 20, 2005

**REMARKS:****Status Of Claims**

Claims 1-29 were previously and are currently pending in the application with claims 1, 15, and 24 being independent.

**Office Action**

In the Interview Summary, the Examiner accurately captured the conversation. Specifically, because Applicant does not believe the Examiner's assertions provide a basis for distinction, Applicant believes the Examiner has failed to show how Group I is distinct with respect to Group II. More specifically, claims in both Group I and Group II have limitations relating the navigational device to the carrying case, and therefore it is difficult to see how the Examiner's assertion that "the apparatus as claimed does not required the navigational device to be stored in a carrying case to work" provides a basis for distinction.


Applicant elected Group I and Species I, with traverse. However, precisely because the Examiner has failed to show a basis for distinction, Applicant believes all claims read on the elected invention and species.

Application No. 10/663,044  
Response dated January 5, 2006  
Reply to Interview Summary of December 20, 2005

Any additional fee which is due in connection with this amendment should be applied against our Deposit Account No. 501-791.

Respectfully submitted,

By:



---

David L. Terrell, Reg. No. 50,576  
Garmin International, Inc.  
1200 East 151<sup>st</sup> Street  
Olathe, KS 66062  
(913) 397-8200  
(913) 397-9079 (Fax)